

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/596,784	BOGDANOVE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Anand U Desai, Ph.D.	1653	

All Participants:
Status of Application: In condition for Allowance.

 (1) Anand U Desai, Ph.D.

 (3) Edwin V. Merkel.

(2) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 8 June 2004
Time: 1:48 p.m.
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Provisional Double patenting of claims 17, 19, 38, and 39

Claims discussed:

17, 19, 38, and 39

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



KAREN COCHRANE CARLSON, PH.D.
 PRIMARY EXAMINER



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed the provisional double patenting rejection. Applicants cited Manual of Patent Examining Procedure Section 804 at pages 800-819 (August 2001) to overcome the provisional double patenting rejection. Claim 39 objection was removed. Application is in condition for allowance..